

**WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP**

BENEDICT Y. HUR (SBN: 224018)

bhur@willkie.com

SIMONA AGNOLUCCI (SBN: 246943)

sagnolucci@willkie.com

EDUARDO E. SANTACANA (SBN: 281668)

esantacana@willkie.com

ARGEMIRA FLÓREZ (SBN: 331153)

aflorez@willkie.com

HARRIS MATEEN (SBN: 335593)

hmateen@willkie.com

333 Bush Street, 34<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Francisco, CA 94104

Telephone: (415) 858-7400

Attorneys for Defendant

GOOGLE LLC

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ANIBAL RODRIGUEZ, *et al.* individually and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GOOGLE LLC, *et al.*,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:20-CV-04688 RS

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
GOOGLE LLC'S MOTION FOR  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Date: July 11, 2024

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Ctrm: 3, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor

Judge: Hon. Richard Seeborg

Action Filed: July 14, 2020

Trial date: February 10, 2025

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Having considered Google’s Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment, supporting declaration, exhibits, and all other submissions and arguments, and based on the following undisputed facts, the Court GRANTS Google’s Motion for Summary Judgment:

1. At all relevant times, Google represented that the WAA button controlled whether certain data would be “saved to your Google Account.”

2. At all relevant times, the phrase “saved to your Google Account” limited the ambit of the WAA button to permissions relating to saving data in a manner that was associated with personal information.

3. At all relevant times, Google represented through its Privacy Policy and Privacy Portal that the phrase “saved to your Google Account” meant “associated with your personal information,” not “saved” in any form, for any purpose, even if made pseudonymous.

4. At all relevant times, Google’s Privacy Policy defined “personal information” to mean information “which personally identifies you, such as your name, email address or billing information, or other data which can be reasonably linked to such information by Google, such as information we associate with your Google account,” or a substantially similar definition.

5. Google did not save the WAA-off or sWAA-off data at issue in this case generated by class members to that class member’s Google Account.

6. Google did not associate the WAA-off or sWAA-off data at issue in this case generated by class members with the class members’ personal information.

7. Google maintained the WAA-off or sWAA-off data at issue in this case generated by class members in pseudonymous or anonymous form in a manner that disabled Google employees from personally identifying the user that generated the data.

8. Google never used the WAA-off or sWAA-off data at issue in this case generated by class members to personalize advertising to class members or build marketing profiles.

Accordingly, summary judgment is GRANTED in favor of Google, and this action is dismissed with prejudice.

//

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED:

The Honorable Richard Seeborg  
Chief United States District Judge